

Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology

Special Issue 2 for INTE 2015
July, 2015

Prof. Dr. Aytekin İşman
Editor-in-Chief

Prof. Dr. Jerry WILLIS - ST John Fisher University in Rochester, USA
Prof. Dr. J. Ana Donaldson - AECT President
Editors

Assist.Prof.Dr. Fahme DABAJ - Eastern Mediterranean University, TRNC
Associate Editor

Assoc.Prof.Dr. Eric Zhi - Feng Liu - National Central University, Taiwan
Assistant Editor





**THE
TURKISH ONLINE
JOURNAL
OF
EDUCATIONAL
TECHNOLOGY**

July, 2015
Special Issue 2 for INTE 2015

Prof. Dr. Aytekin İşman
Editor-in-Chief

Editors
Prof. Dr. Jerry Willis
Prof. Dr. J. Ana Donaldson

Associate Editor
Assist. Prof. Dr. Fahme Dabaj

Assistant Editor
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Eric Zhi - Feng Liu

ISSN: 1303 - 6521

Indexed by
Education Resources Information Center – **ERIC**
SCOPUS - ELSEVIER

Copyright © THE TURKISH ONLINE JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY

All rights reserved. No part of TOJET's articles may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Published in TURKEY

Contact Address:
Prof. Dr. Aytekin İŞMAN
TOJET, Editor in Chief
Sakarya-Turkey

Message from the Editor-in-Chief

Dear Colleagues,

We are very pleased to publish Special Issue 1 for INTE 2015 conference. This issue covers the papers presented at 6th International New Horizons in Education Conference which was held in Barcelona, Spain. These papers are about different research scopes and approaches of new developments and innovation in educational.

Call for Papers

TOJET invites you article contributions. Submitted articles should be about all aspects of educational technology. The articles should be original, unpublished, and not in consideration for publication elsewhere at the time of submission to TOJET. Manuscripts must be submitted in English.

TOJET is guided by its editors, guest editors and advisory boards. If you are interested in contributing to TOJET as an author, guest editor or reviewer, please send your CV to tojet.editor@gmail.com.

July 2015

Prof. Dr. Aytekin ISMAN

Sakarya University

Editorial Board

Editors

Prof. Dr. Aytekin İŞMAN - Sakarya University, Turkey
Prof. Dr. Jerry WILLIS - ST John Fisher University in Rochester, USA
Prof. Dr. J. Ana Donaldson – AECT, Past President

Associate Editor

Assist.Prof.Dr. Fahme DABAJ - Eastern Mediterranean University, TRNC

Assistant Editor

Assoc.Prof.Dr. Eric Zhi - Feng Liu - National Central University, Taiwan

Editorial Board

Prof.Dr. Ahmet Zeki Saka - Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Akif Ergin - Başkent University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Ali Al Mazari - Alfaisal University, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Prof.Dr. Ali Ekrem Özkul - Anadolu University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Antoinette J. Muntjewerff - University of Amsterdam
Prof.Dr. Arif Altun - Hacettepe University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Arvind Singhal - University of Texas, USA
Prof.Dr. Asaf Varol - Fırat University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Aytekin İşman - Sakarya University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Brent G. Wilson - University of Colorado at Denver, USA
Prof.Dr. Buket Akkoyunlu - Hacettepe University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Cengiz Hakan Aydın - Anadolu University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Chang-Shing Lee - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Charlotte N. (Lani) Gunawardena - University of New Mexico, USA
Prof.Dr. Chi - Jui Lien - National Taipei University of Education, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Chih - Kai Chang - National University of Taiwan, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Chin-Min Hsiung - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Colin Latchem - Open Learning Consultant, Australia
Prof.Dr. Colleen Sexton - Governor State University, USA
Prof.Dr. Demetrios G. Sampson - University of Piraeus, Greece
Prof.Dr. Dimitar G. Velez - University of National and World Economy, Bulgaria
Prof.Dr. Don M. Flourney - Ohio University, USA
Prof.Dr. Dongsik Kim - Hanyang University, South Korea
Prof.Dr. Enver Tahir Rıza - Dokuz Eylül University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Eralp Altun - Ege University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Feng-chiao Chung - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Ferhan Odabaşı - Anadolu University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Finland Cheng - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Fong Soon Fook - Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Prof.Dr. Francine Shuchat Shaw - New York University, USA
Prof.Dr. Gianni Viardo Vercelli - University of Genova, Italy
Prof.Dr. Gwo - Dong Chen - National Central University Chung - Li, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Hafize Keser - Ankara University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Halil İbrahim Yalın - Gazi University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Heli Ruokamo - University of Lapland, Finland
Prof.Dr. Henry H.H. Chen - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Ing. Giovanni Adorni - University of Genova, Italy
Prof.Dr. J. Ana Donaldson - AECT President
Prof.Dr. J. Michael Spector - University of North Texas, USA
Prof.Dr. Jerry Willis - ST John Fisher University in Rochester, USA
Prof.Dr. Jie-Chi Yang - National central university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Kinshuk - Athabasca University, Canada
Prof.Dr. Kiyoshi Nakabayashi - Chiba Institute of Technology, Japan
Prof.Dr. Kumiko Aoki - The Open University of Japan, Japan
Prof.Dr. Kuo - En Chang - National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Kuo - Hung Tseng - Meiho Institute of Technology, Taiwan

Prof.Dr. Kuo - Robert Lai - Yuan - Ze University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Liu Meifeng - Beijing Normal University, China
Prof.Dr. Marina Stock Mcisaac - Arizona State University, USA
Prof.Dr. Mehmet Ali Dikermen - Middlesex University, UK
Prof.Dr. Mehmet Çağlar - Near East University, TRNC
Prof.Dr. Mehmet Gürol - Fırat University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Mehmet Kesim - Anadolu University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Mei-Mei Chang - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Melissa Hui-Mei Fan - National central university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Min Jou - National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Ming - Puu Chen - National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Murat Barkan - Yaşar University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Mustafa Murat Inceoğlu - Ege University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Mustafa Şahin Dündar - Sakarya University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Nabi Bux Jumani - International Islamic University, Pakistan
Prof.Dr. Nian - Shing Chen - National Sun Yat - Sen University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Paul Gibbs - Middlesex University, UK
Prof.Dr. Petek Aşkar - Hacettepe University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Ramdane Younsi - Ecole polytechnique de Montreal, Canada
Prof.Dr. Rauf Yıldız - Çanakkale 19 Mart University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Roger Hartley - University of Leeds, UK
Prof.Dr. Rozhan Hj. Mohammed Idrus - Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Prof.Dr. Saedah Siraj - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Prof.Dr. Sello Mokoena - University of South Africa, South Africa
Prof.Dr. Servet Bayram - Yeditepe University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Shan - Ju Lin - National Taiwan University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Sheng Quan Yu - Beijing Normal University, China
Prof.Dr. Shi-Jer Lou - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Shu - Sheng Liaw - China Medical University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Shu-Hsuan Chang - National Changhua University of Education, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Stefan Aufenanger - University of Mainz, Germany
Prof.Dr. Stephen Harmon - Georgia State University, USA
Prof.Dr. Stephen J.H. Yang - National Central University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Sun Fuwan - China Open University, China
Prof.Dr. Sunny S.J. Lin - National Chiao Tung University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Teresa Franklin - Ohio University, USA
Prof.Dr. Toshio Okamoto - University of Electro - Communications, Japan
Prof.Dr. Toshiyuki Yamamoto - Japan
Prof.Dr. Tzu - Chien Liu - National Central University, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Uğur Demiray - Anadolu University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Ülkü Köymen - Lefke European University, TRNC
Prof.Dr. Vaseudev D.Kulkarni - Hutatma Rajjguru College, Rajguruunagar(Pune),(M.S.) INDIA
Prof.Dr. Xibin Han - Tsinghua University, China
Prof.Dr. Yau Hon Keung - City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
Prof.Dr. Yavuz Akpınar - Boğaziçi University, Turkey
Prof.Dr. Yen-Hsyang Chu - National central university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Yuan - Chen Liu - National Taipei University of Education, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Yuan-Kuang Guu - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Prof.Dr. Young-Kyung Min - University of Washington, USA

Assoc.Prof.Dr. Abdullah Kuzu - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Adile Aşkın Kurt - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ahmet Eskicumalı - Sakarya University
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Aijaz Ahmed Gujjar - Sindh Madressatul Islam University, Pakistan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Aytaç Göğüş - Sabancı University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Chen - Chung Liu - National Central University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Cheng - Huang Yen - National Open University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ching - fan Chen - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ching Hui Alice Chen - Ming Chuan University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Chiung - sui Chang - Tamkang University, Taiwan

- Assoc.Prof.Dr. Danguole Rutkauskiene - Kauno Technology University, Lietvenia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. David Tawei Ku - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Eric Meng - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Eric Zhi Feng Liu - National central university, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Erkan Tekinarslan - Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ezendu Ariwa - London Metropolitan University, U.K.
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Fahad N. AlFahad - King Saud University
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Fahriye Altinay - Near East University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Gurnam Kaur Sidhu - Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hao - Chiang Lin - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hasan Çalışkan - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hasan KARAL - Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hsin - Chih Lin - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Huey - Ching Jih - National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Huichen Zhao - School of Education, Henan University, China
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Hüseyin Yaratın - Eastern Mediterranean University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. I - Wen Huang - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. I Tsun Chiang - National Changhua University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ian Sanders - University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg
Assoc.Prof.Dr. İsmail İpek - Fatih University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Işıl Kabakçı - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Jie - Chi Yang - National Central University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. John I-Tsun Chiang - National Changhua University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ju - Ling Shih - National University of Taiwan, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Kuong Lin - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Kuo - Chang Ting - Ming - HSIN University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Kuo - Liang Ou - National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Larysa M. Mytsyk - Gogol State University, Ukraine
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Li - An Ho - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Li Yawan - China Open University, China
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Manoj Kumar Saxena - Central University of Himachal Pradesh, Dharamshala, Kangra, India
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Mike Joy - University of Warwick, UK
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ming-Charng Jeng - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Murat Ataizi - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Nergüz Serin - Cyprus International University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Norazah Mohd Suki - Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Normaliza Abd Rahim - Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Oğuz Serin - Cyprus International University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ping - Kuen Chen - National Defense University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Popat S. Tambade - Prof. Ramkrishna More College, India
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Prakash Khanale - Dnyanopasak College, INDIA
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Pramela Krish - Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Tzu - Hua Wang - National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Vincent Ru-Chu Shih - National Pingtung University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Wu - Yui Hwang - National Central University, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Ya-Ling Wu - National pingtung university, Taiwan
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Yahya O Mohamed Elhadj - AL Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud University, Saudi Arabia
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Yavuz Akbulut - Anadolu University
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Zehra Altinay - Near East University, TRNC
Assoc.Prof.Dr. Zhi - Feng Liu - National Central University, Taiwan
- Assist.Prof.Dr. Aaron L. Davenport - Grand View College, USA
Assist.Prof.Dr. Andreja Istenic Starcic - University of Primorska, Slovenija
Assist.Prof.Dr. Anita G. Welch - North Dakota State University, USA
Assist.Prof.Dr. Betül Özkan - University of Arizona, USA
Assist.Prof.Dr. Burçin Kısa Işık - Gaziantep University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Chiu - Pin Lin - National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Chun - Ping Wu - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Chun - Yi Shen - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Chung-Yuan Hsu - National pingtung university, Taiwan

Assist.Prof.Dr. Dale Havill - Dhofar University, Sultanate of Oman
Assist.Prof.Dr. Ferman Konukman - College of Arts and Science, Sport Science Program, Qatar University
Assist.Prof.Dr. Filiz Varol - Firat University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Guan - Ze Liao - National Hsinchu University of Education, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Hsiang chin - hsiao - Shih - Chien University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Huei - Tse Hou - National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Hüseyin Ünlü - Aksaray University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Jagannath. K Dange - Kuvempu University, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. K. B. Praveena - University of Mysore, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. Kanvaria Vinod Kumar - University of Delhi, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. Marko Radovan - University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Assist.Prof.Dr. Min-Hsien Lee - National central university, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Mohammad Akram Mohammad Al-Zu'bi - Jordan Al Balqa Applied University, Jordan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Muhammet Demirbilek - Süleyman Demirel University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Pamela Ewell - Central College of IOWA, USA
Assist.Prof.Dr. Pei-Hsuan Hsieh - National Cheng Kung University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Pey-Yan Liou - National central university, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Phaik Kin, Cheah - Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak
Assist.Prof.Dr. Ping - Yeh Tsai - Tamkang University, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. S. Arulchelvan - Anna University, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. Seçil Kaya - Anadolu University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Selma Koç Vonderwell - Cleveland State University, Cleveland
Assist.Prof.Dr. Sunil Kumar - National Institute of Technology, India
Assist.Prof.Dr. Tsung - Yen Chuang - National University of Taiwan, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Vahid Motamedi - Tarbiat Moallem University, Iran
Assist.Prof.Dr. Yalın Kılıç Türel - Firat University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Yu - Ju Lan - National Taipei University of Education, Taiwan
Assist.Prof.Dr. Zehra Alakoç Burma - Mersin University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Zerrin Ayvaz Reis - İstanbul University, Turkey
Assist.Prof.Dr. Zülfü Genç - Firat University, Turkey

Dr. Arnaud P. Prevot - Forest Ridge School of the Sacred Heart, USA
Dr. Balakrishnan Muniandy - Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Dr. Brendan Tangney - Trinity College, Ireland
Dr. Chen Haishan - China Open University, China
Dr. Chin Hai Leng - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Chin Yeh Wang - National Central University, Taiwan
Dr. Chun Hsiang Chen - National Central University, Taiwan
Dr. Chun Hung Lin - National central university, Taiwan
Dr. Farrah Dina Yusop - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Hj. Issham Ismail - Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
Dr. Hj. Mohd Arif Hj. Ismail - National University of Malaysia, Malaysia
Dr. I-Hen Tsai - National University of Tainan, Taiwan
Dr. Jarkko Suhonen - University of Eastern Finland, Finland
Dr. Li Ying - China Open University, China
Dr. Norlidah Alias - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Rosnaini Mahmud - Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia
Dr. Sachin Sharma - Faridabad Institute of Technology, Faridabad
Dr. Seetharam Chittoor Jhansi - Pushpa Navnit Shah Centre for Lifelong Learning, India
Dr. Tam Shu Sim - University of Malaya, Malaysia
Dr. Tiong Goh - Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
Dr. Vikrant Mishra - Shivalik College of Education, India
Dr. Zahra Naimie - University of Malaya, Malaysia

Prospective Chemistry And Science Teachers' Metaphoric Perceptions Of Science

Oya Ağlarci

Marmara University
oyaglarci@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to determine freshmen and senior prospective teachers' views of science by using their metaphors. Case study as a qualitative research design was benefited in the research. 145 prospective chemistry and science teachers from a university in İstanbul were participated in the study. Participants were asked to complete some sentences about science (science is like...;because...). The meaning of metaphor was briefly explained in order to guide the participants to construct relevant metaphors. The data of the study was analyzed with content analysis. It was found that prospective teachers constructed 69 different metaphors under 9 categories including "science is open to change", "science is infinite", "science combines different areas of studies together", "science enlightens/guides people". Among these, the most commonly constructed metaphors were sun (n=11), tree (n=11), light (n=10), ocean (n=7), space (n=7) and book (n=6). The findings of the study showed that most of the participants considered science as a tentative and infinite way. Some conclusions were discussed in the light of the findings.

INTRODUCTION

Science has a vital role in our lives. We search answers to questions of the natural world with the help of scientific studies. Our knowledge of health, transportation, agriculture, technology, education and industry depends heavily on scientific research. Science is a way of knowing and thinking as well as it guides people to understand the universe and social structures (Lederman, 1992). According to the Turkish National Ministry of Education, science is an area that everyone can participate in and make contribution to (MNE, 2007). Even though science addresses all people who are interested in it, there are a lot of different definitions of science in minds and these include misconceptions and myths (McComas, 1998; Abd-El Khalick, 2004). Determining and reducing these misconceptions will help to improve citizens' images of science. In this manner, science education programs and teachers play a key role in this process, as they are mostly responsible for educating people.

The aim of Turkish science teaching programs is to raise scientifically literate students (MNE; 2013). Scientific literacy is defined as "the knowledge and understanding of scientific concepts and processes required for personal decision making, participation in civic and cultural affairs, and economic productivity" (NRC, 1996, p. 22). Citizens who understand the characteristics of science will be able to distinguish pseudo-scientific claims from scientific research and use scientific knowledge in everyday life decision-making processes (Bell & Lederman, 2003). When the number of scientifically literate citizens increases, it is considered that society will have positive views towards science (Driver, Leach, Millar & Scott, 1996). Therefore, it is important to find out what prospective teachers think about science, as they will be mostly responsible for educating students in future. At this point, metaphors play an important part revealing prospective teachers' ideas of science. Thinking with metaphors is an important part of scientific process. Metaphor is defined as "a novel or poetic linguistic expression where one or more words for a concept are used outside of its normal conventional meaning to express a similar concept" (Lakoff, 1993, p. 202). Metaphors help understand and explain concepts as well as they relate solid facts and abstract ideas (Gültekin, 2013). Therefore, metaphors make complex ideas and concepts more understandable (Yıldırım & Şimşek, 2013).

The aim of the study is to find out freshmen and senior prospective teachers' metaphors that they constructed for the concept of "science". The research questions of the study are;

- 1- What metaphors do prospective chemistry teachers (PCT) and prospective science teachers (PST) construct to define science?
- 2- Which categories can these metaphors be classified in?

THE STUDY

The study was constructed in the light of constructivist/interpretive paradigm. Prospective teachers' metaphors of science and their explanations were deeply analyzed in a qualitative way. For this purpose, case study as a qualitative design was benefited. According to Yin (2003, p. 13), case study "investigates a contemporary phenomenon within its real-life context, especially when the boundaries between phenomenon and context are not clearly evident".

The participants of the study are freshmen and senior prospective chemistry and science teachers from a university in Istanbul. 120 female (83%) and 25 male (17%) students participated in the study voluntarily (Table 1).

Table 1. The distribution of the participants according to their majors and grades

Participants			Female	Male	Total
Freshmen Teachers	Prospective	Chemistry	14	2	16
Senior Prospective Chemistry Teachers			18	8	26
Freshmen Prospective Science Teachers			43	8	51
Senior Prospective Science Teachers			45	7	52
Total Number			120	25	145

The participants were asked to complete some sentences about science (science is like...;because...). For this purpose, a questionnaire consisting of these open-ended questions were designed. Before administering the questionnaire, the meaning of metaphor was briefly explained in order to guide the participants to construct relevant metaphors. They were asked to write only one metaphor to explain their ideas about science.

The data were analyzed by content analysis technique. The main aim of the content analysis is to reach some relationships that will explain the research data. For this purpose, similar data are brought together within some main concepts, and then they are organized. Finally, themes are constructed to explain data (Yıldırım & Şimşek, 2005). Data were analyzed in five stages according to Saban (2008). In the **coding and elimination stage**, metaphorical images were coded (tree, light, child, etc.). The answers in which metaphors were not clearly constructed were eliminated. In this stage, 8 answers were eliminated. In the **classification stage**, metaphors (n=69) were examined to find similarities with other metaphors. In the **categorization stage**, each metaphor was analyzed in terms of similar characteristics that were related to the *science* concept. 9 different conceptual categories were defined after this inductive analysis procedure. In the **establishing inter-rater reliability stage**, an expert from science education department analyzed the data independently from the researchers. The level of agreement between the expert and the researcher was 92%. According to Miles & Huberman (1994), the analysis is considered to be reliable when there is 80% or over coherence between two codings. In the **last stage**, all of the data were transferred into computer and percentages and frequencies were calculated for each category.

FINDINGS

Participants' metaphors were presented in Table 2. Findings have shown that the participants constructed 69 metaphors. Among these metaphors, the most commonly constructed ones are sun (n=11, 7,59%), tree (n=11, 7,59%), light (n=10; 6,90%), ocean (n=7; 4,82%), space (n=7; 4,82%) and book (n=6; 4,14%).

Table 2. Frequencies and percentages of participants' metaphors for the concept of "science"

Metaphor	Freshmen PC	Senior PCT	Freshmen PS'	Senior PST	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)
Human brain	1	-	-	1	2	1,38%
Locked door	1	-	-	-	1	0,69%
Time	1	-	-	-	1	0,69%
Rocks	1	-	-	-	1	0,69%
Flower seed	1	-	1	-	2	1,38%
Baby tiger	1	-	-	-	1	0,69%
Ocean	1	-	2	4	7	4,82%
Drug	1	-	-	-	1	0,69%
Child	1	1	1	2	5	3,45%
Excavation	1	-	-	-	1	0,69%
Factory	1	-	-	1	2	1,38%
Hope	1	-	-	-	1	0,69%
Baby	1	-	3	-	4	2,76%
Mother	1	-	-	-	1	0,69%
Weapon	1	-	-	-	1	0,69%
Tree	1	3	1	6	11	7,59%
Death	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Book	-	2	4	-	6	4,14%
Comma	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Space	-	2	4	1	7	4,82%

Human	-	2	1	-	3	2,07%
Kinder surprise	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Google	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Cocktail	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Destructive for	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Pomegranate	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Fruit salad	-	1	-	1	2	1,38%
Woman	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Fashion	-	1	1	1	3	2,07%
Cat	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Bag	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Black hole	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Water	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Adventure	-	1	-	-	1	0,69%
Sun	-	1	8	2	11	7,59%
Puzzle	-	-	1	-	1	0,69%
Limitless	-	-	1	1	2	1,38%
Door	-	-	1	-	1	0,69%
Light	-	-	3	7	10	6,90%
Star	-	-	1	-	1	0,69%
Horizon	-	-	1	-	1	0,69%
Nutrition	-	-	1	-	1	0,69%
Path	-	-	2	-	2	1,38%
Plant	-	-	1	-	1	0,69%
Snowball	-	-	1	-	1	0,69%
Tourist guide	-	-	1	-	1	0,69%
House	-	-	1	2	3	2,07%
Earth	-	-	2	1	3	2,07%
Library	-	-	3	-	3	2,07%
Sky	-	-	3	-	3	2,07%
Universe	-	-	1	3	4	2,76%
Tornado	-	-	1	-	1	0,69%
Culture	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Human life	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Endless well	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Ladder	-	-	-	3	3	2,07%
Fish	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Pet	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Medicine	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Pen	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
2 standing mirrc	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Mountain	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Diamond	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Lighthouse	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Domino	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Gold mine	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Nefron	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Past and preser	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Istanbul	-	-	-	1	1	0,69%
Total	16	26	51	52	145	100%

The findings have shown that the participants constructed these metaphors under 9 different categories as it can be seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Frequencies and percentages of metaphorical categories for the concept of “science”

Categories	Freshmen PCT	Senior PCT	Freshmer PST	Senior PST	F	%
Science is infinite	2	8	14	6	30	21%
Science is open to change	2	4	13	9	28	19%
Science enlightens/guides/helps people	1	2	13	11	27	18%
Science is open to inquiry/research	2	1	7	6	16	11%
Science combines different areas of studi together	1	5	2	6	14	10%
Science requires effort and practice	2	3	2	6	13	9%
Science involves/produces (new) knowle	2	3	0	6	11	8%
Science is based on solid ground	1	0	0	2	3	2%
Science has both negative and positive effects/sides	3	0	0	0	3	2%
Total	16	26	51	52	145	100%

The results have shown that most of the participants (n=30; 21%) think science is infinite and open to change (n=28; 19%). Some metaphors and explanations in these categories are presented below:

“Science is like time because it never ends. It always keeps on progressing.” (Freshmen PCT, 3)
“Time is like comma. Because scientific researches always continue. Science always keeps on going forward.” (Senior PCT, 3)
“Science is like horizon because people always try to go beyond their dreams and ideas. They make explanations, then new ideas are added and it keeps on going like that” (Freshmen PST, 15)
“Science is like a child because a child’s likes and dislikes change with the developmental stage. Science looks like this. Scientific theories change with new evidence or reinterpreting the existing knowledge” (Senior PST, 10)

Also, the majority of them believe science enlightens, guides or helps people (n=27; 18%). Some of the participants underline that science combines different disciplines of inquiry together (n=14; 10%). Some examples for these categories are presented below:

“Science is like sun. Because it enlightens everything around it and it is necessary for life. Science, like sun, guides people and make their lives better.” (Senior PCT, 24)
“Science is like a house because, a house consists of rooms such as kitchen, bathroom, living room. Science has branches and they look like rooms. Chemistry is like kitchen.” (Senior PST, 28)

16 participants (11%) underline that science is open to inquiry and research and 13 of them (9%) believe that science requires effort and practice. Also, some of the participants (n=11, 8%) think science involves/produces (new) knowledge.

“Science is like a child, because it always asks questions and tries to find answers to these questions. Like a curious child, science seeks answers to the unknown.” (Freshmen PCT, 10)
“Science is like tree because in the first place, it takes patience and effort for a long time. After that, scientific knowledge develops.” (Senior PCT, 27)
“Science is like factory because it produces new knowledge all the time.” (Senior PST, 12)

In Table 4, there are categories for the concept of “science” and some examples from metaphors that the participants have constructed.

Table 4. Metaphorical categories for the concept of “science” and some examples of them

Categories	Metaphors
Science is infinite	-Time, ocean (Freshmen PCT) -Death, comma, space, book, black hole, child (Senior PCT) -Limitless, puzzle, snowball, space, horizon, ocean, earth, sky, universe, path, book, library (Freshmen PST) -Human brain, Endless well, sun, two mirrors facing each other, limitless, space, universe (Senior PST)
Science requires effort	-Mother; flower seed (Freshmen PCT) -Tree, adventure (Senior PCT) -Tree, plant (Freshmen PST) -Culture, pet, child, earth, fish (Senior PST)
Science is open to change	-Human brain, baby (Freshmen PCT) -Human, woman, fashion, cat (Senior PCT) -Baby, human, space, seed, book, child, fashion, earth (Freshmen PST) -Fashion, Istanbul, limitless, universe, tree (Senior PST)
Science combines different areas of studies together	-Tree (Freshmen PCT) -Human, fruit salad, book, cocktail, tree (Senior PCT) -House, library (Freshmen PST) -House, tree, ocean (Senior PST)
Science enlightens/guides or helps people	-Hope (Freshmen PCT) -Sun, water (Senior PCT) -Light, sun, tourist guide, star, nutrition (Freshmen PST) - sun, light, lighthouse (Senior PST)
Science is based on solid ground	-Rocks (Freshmen PCT) -Ladder (Senior PST)
Science involves/ produces (new) knowledge	-Factory, unlocked door (Freshmen PCT) -Kinder surprise, Pomegranate, Google (Senior PCT) -Ocean, universe, factory, tree (Senior PST)
Science is open to inquiry/research	-Excavation, child (Freshmen PCT) -Bag (Senior PCT) -Ocean, book, human, space, sun (Freshmen PST) -Nefron, gold mine (Senior PST)
Science has both negative and positive effects/sides	Weapon, baby tiger, drug (Freshmen PCT)

CONCLUSIONS

Developing people’s images of science is a key goal of science education. Especially teachers have an important role, for they are mostly responsible for educating students. Science educators and science education programmes need to focus on misconceptions about science as the programme’s major aim is scientific literacy. Using metaphors is effective for the reflection of students’ ideas for various topics. Also, metaphors will enhance students’ language skills as well as creativity. In the study, prospective teachers constructed 69 different metaphors under 9 categories. Most of the prospective teachers mention that science is infinite (it never ends) and it is open to change. Their explanations show that they put emphasis on nature of science tenets such as the tentative nature of science. Also, most of the participants view science as a guide. They appreciate the necessity of science for human life. From this point of view, it is possible to say that most of the prospective teachers have contemporary views of science because their explanations are consistent with the science description given by Lederman (1992). Besides these, prospective teachers use both the living (human, animal, plant etc) and inanimate objects (book, light, house) as metaphors. Metaphors can be used as an alternative method when determining both students and teachers’ ideas about science or any other concept (including nature of science tenets like theory, law, scientist etc).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Scientific Research Projects Coordination Office of Marmara University, Istanbul/Turkey. Contract Grant Number: EGT-D-130515-0230

References

- Abd-El-Khalick, F. (2004). Over and over again: College students' views of nature of science. In L.B. Flick ve N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Scientific inquiry and nature of science: Implications for teaching, learning, and teacher education* (pp. 389–425). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Bell, R. L., & Lederman, N. G. (2003). Understandings of the nature of science and decision making on science and technology based issues. *Science education*, 87(3), 352-377.
- Driver, R., Leach, J., Millar, R., & Scott, P. (1996). *Young people's images of science*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Gültekin, M. (2013). The metaphors that primary education teacher candidates use regarding curriculum. *Education and science*, 38 (169), 126-141.
- Lakoff, G. (1993). The contemporary theory of metaphor. *Metaphor and thought*, 2, 202-251.
- Lederman, N. G. (1992). Students' and teachers' conceptions of the nature of science: A review of the research. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 29(4), 331-359.
- McComas, W. F. (1998). The principal elements of the nature of science: Dispelling the myths. In W. F. McComas (Ed.), *The nature of science in science education: Rationales and strategies* (pp. 53-70). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative data analysis* (2nd Edition). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Ministry of National Education (MNE). (2007). *Chemistry Education Program for 9. Grades*. Ankara: MEB Publication.
- Ministry of National Education (MNE). (2013). *Chemistry Education Program for Secondary Grades (9., 10., 11 & 12. Grades)*. Ankara: MEB Publication.
- National Research Council (NRC). (1996). *National science education standards*. Washington, DC: National Academies.
- Saban, A. (2008). Metaphors about school. *Educational administration: Theory and practice*, 55, 459-496.
- Yıldırım, A., & Şimşek, H. (2005). *Sosyal bilimlerde nitel araştırma yöntemleri* (5. Baskı). Ankara: Seçkin.
- Yıldırım, A., & Şimşek, H. (2013). *Sosyal bilimlerde nitel araştırma yöntemleri* (9. Baskı). Ankara: Seçkin.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case study research: Design and methods* (3rd edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.